

Proposition: (characterization of order)

If  $G$  is a group and  $x \in G$ ,

then  $o(x)$  is the smallest

$n \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $x^n = e$ .

proof:

If  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  is the smallest

natural number with  $x^n = e$ ,

then  $x^{n+1} = x^n \cdot x = e \cdot x = x$ .

Similarly, for any positive

power of  $x$ ,

$$x^m = x^{[m]_n}$$

Observe also that  $(n \geq 2)$

$$e = X^n = X^{n-1} \cdot X$$

$$\Rightarrow X^{n-1} = X^{-1} \quad \text{by uniqueness}$$

of the inverse.

Therefore,

$$\langle X \rangle = \{ e, X, X^2, \dots, X^{n-1} \}$$

$$\Rightarrow o(X) = n.$$

Other direction next time!

Suppose  $o(x) = n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

Suppose  $\exists k \in \mathbb{N}, k \leq n,$

$$x^k = e.$$

Then the powers

$$\{e, x, x^2, \dots, x^{k-1}\}$$

form a subgroup of  $G$  by

exactly the same argument

that  $\{e, x, x^2, \dots, x^{2^k}\}$

form a subgroup -

Since  $x \in \{e, x, x^2, \dots, x^{k-1}\},$

We know that

$$\{e, x, x^2, \dots, x^k\} \subseteq \langle x \rangle$$

We assumed that  $\dim \langle x \rangle = n$ ,

$$\text{So } n = \dim \langle x \rangle \leq \underbrace{|\{e, x, x^2, \dots, x^k\}|}_k$$

We assumed that

$k \leq n$ , and we have

proved that  $n \leq k$ .

Therefore,  $n = k$ .



Theorem: (characterization of cyclic groups)

Let  $G$  be a cyclic group.

Then either

1)  $G$  is isomorphic to  $\mathbb{Z}$

or

2)  $\exists n \in \mathbb{N}$  with

$G$  isomorphic to  $\mathbb{Z}_n$ .

Proof:

Suppose  $|G| = \infty$ .

Since  $\exists x \in G$  with

$$G = \langle x \rangle,$$

then  $\forall h \in G, \exists$

$n \in \mathbb{Z}$  with

$$h = x^n.$$

Define

$$\varphi: G \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$$

$$\varphi(x^n) = n.$$

$\varphi$  is surjective by construction.

Now suppose

$$n = \varphi(x^n) = \varphi(x^m) = m$$

We then have

$$x^n = x^m$$

$$\Rightarrow x^{m-n} = e.$$

This shows  $\varphi$  is injective.

Why is  $\varphi$  well-defined?

$$\text{Suppose } x^m = x^n.$$

$$\text{Then } x^{m-n} = e$$

If  $m-n \neq 0$ , then

$\exists k \in \mathbb{N}$  with

$$x^k = e$$

Then by the previous proposition,

$$|G| = |\langle x \rangle| = k.$$

↳ This can't happen since we assumed  $|G|$  is infinite.

So  $\varphi$  is well-defined.

Last, we need to check that

$$\varphi(h_1 h_2) = \varphi(h_1) + \varphi(h_2)$$

$$\forall h_1, h_2 \in G.$$

We know  $\exists r, m \in \mathbb{N}$



with

$$h_1 = x^n, \quad h_2 = x^m.$$

Then

$$h_1 h_2 = x^{n+m} \quad \text{and}$$

$$\ell(h_1 h_2) = n + m$$

$$= \ell(h_1) + \ell(h_2) \quad \checkmark$$

Therefore,  $\ell$  is an isomorphism,

If  $|G| < \infty$ , take a generator

$$x \in G, \quad G = \langle x \rangle.$$

Define

$$\varphi: \mathbb{G} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/16\mathbb{Z}$$

$$\varphi(x^m) = [m] \pmod{16}$$

Reduce

$$\varphi(x^m x^n),$$

$$\varphi(x^m) + \varphi(x^n)$$

$\pmod{16}$  to get that

$$\varphi(x^m x^n) = \varphi(x^m) + \varphi(x^n)$$

