

Proposition: (kernels are normal) Let

$G, H$  be groups and

$\varphi: G \rightarrow H$  a homomorphism.

Then  $\ker(\varphi) \triangleleft G$ .

proof: We already know  $\ker(\varphi) \leq G$ .

We need to show

$$x (\ker(\varphi)) x^{-1} = \ker(\varphi)$$

$$\forall x \in G.$$

Take  $y \in \ker(\varphi)$ , consider

$$x \cdot y \cdot x^{-1} \text{ for } x \in G.$$

Then

$$\varphi(xy \cdot x^{-1})$$

$$= \varphi(x) \cdot \varphi(y) \cdot \varphi(x^{-1})$$

$$= \varphi(x) \cdot \varphi(y) \cdot \varphi(x)^{-1}$$

$$= \varphi(x) \cdot \underbrace{e_H}_{\text{since } y \in \ker(\varphi)} \cdot \varphi(x)^{-1}$$

since  
 $y \in \ker(\varphi)$

$$= \varphi(x) \cdot \varphi(x)^{-1}$$

$$= e_H$$

$$\Rightarrow xyx^{-1} \in \ker(\varphi)$$

$$\forall x \in G, y \in \ker(\varphi).$$

So we have

$$x \ker(\varphi) x^{-1} \subseteq \ker(\varphi).$$

We want to show

$$\ker(\varphi) \subseteq x \ker(\varphi) x^{-1}.$$

Let  $y \in \ker(\varphi)$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} y &= \underbrace{(x \cdot x^{-1})}_{e_G} \cdot y \cdot \underbrace{(x \cdot x^{-1})}_{e_G} \\ &= x \cdot (x^{-1} \cdot y \cdot x) \cdot x^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

From the first direction, since

$$y \in \ker(\varphi),$$

$$x^{-1} \cdot y \cdot x \in \ker(\varphi).$$

$$\text{Set } k = x^{-1} \cdot y \cdot x.$$

Then

$$y = x k x^{-1} \in x \ker(\varphi) x^{-1}$$

$$\Rightarrow \ker(\varphi) \subseteq x \ker(\varphi) x^{-1}.$$

Therefore, we have the desired

$$\text{equality } \ker(\varphi) = x \ker(\varphi) x^{-1}$$

$\forall x \in G$ , and so

$$\ker(\varphi) \triangleleft G.$$



Remark:

The converse of the previous proposition is also true!

Namely,  $H \triangleleft G$  if

and only if  $\exists$  a group

$K$  and a homomorphism

$\varphi: G \rightarrow K$  with  $H = \ker(\varphi)$ .

But the converse requires more machinery to prove...

Cautions:

If  $H \leq G$  and

$x H x^{-1} = H$  for some

$x \in G$ , it does **NOT**

follow that  $x y x^{-1} = y$

for all  $y \in H$ .

Example:  $G = S_3$ ,  $H = \langle (123) \rangle$ .

Then in fact,

$H \triangleleft G$ , so

$x H x^{-1} = H \quad \forall x \in G$ .

But if  $x = (12)$ ,

$$(12)(123)(12)^{-1}$$
$$= (12)(123)(12)$$

$$= (132) \neq (123)$$

Nevertheless,  $(132) \in H$ , since

$$(132) = (123)^{-1}.$$

## Back to Symmetric Groups

Recall: ( $S_n$  and cycle notation)

$S_n =$  all bijections on an  $n$ -element set, with the group operation of function composition.

We use cycle notation to

describe elements of  $S_n$ , where

$(x_1 x_2 \dots x_k)$  represents

a cycle for  $k \leq n$  and

$\{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k\}$  distinct elements

of the  $n$ -element set.



Lemma:

(transposition decomposition) Let  $n \in \mathbb{N}, n \geq 2$ . Then every  $\sigma \in S_n$  can be expressed as a product of transpositions, where a transposition is a cycle of length 2:

$(x_1, x_2)$ , with

$x_1, x_2 \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ ,

$x_1 \neq x_2$

proof: Recall that every  $\sigma \in S_n$  is the product of disjoint cycles.

Therefore, it suffices to prove the result when  $\sigma$  is a cycle.

Fix  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . We will induct on the length of the cycle  $\sigma$ . Let  $k$  be the length of a cycle in  $S_n$ ,  $n \geq 2$ .

$$\underline{k=1}$$

$$\sigma = \text{identity}$$

$$\sigma = (12)(12) = \text{identity}$$

is a product of transpositions.

$$\underline{k=2}$$

$\sigma$  itself is a transposition!

$$\underline{k=3}$$

$$\sigma = (x_1 x_2 x_3)$$

$$\sigma = (x_1 x_2)(x_2 x_3) \quad \checkmark$$

$$\underline{k=4}$$

$$\sigma = (x_1 x_2 x_3 x_4)$$

$$\sigma = (x_1 x_2)(x_2 x_3 x_4) \quad \checkmark$$

by the  $k=3$

case,  $(x_2 x_3 x_4)$

is a product of

transpositions.

## General $k$

Suppose we know that  
for any cycle of length  
 $k-1$  for  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $k \geq 5$ ,  
the cycle may be expressed  
as a product of transpositions.

Then let

$\sigma = (x_1 x_2 \cdots x_k)$  be  
a cycle of length  $k$  in  $S_n$ .

$$\sigma = (x_1 x_2) \underbrace{(x_2 x_3 \cdots x_k)}_{\substack{\text{length } k-1 \\ \text{cycle}}} \quad \checkmark$$

By induction,

$(x_2 x_3 \dots x_n)$  can be

expressed as a product  
of transpositions, and

$$\text{so } \sigma = (x_1 x_2) (x_2 x_3 \dots x_n)$$

can also be expressed as a  
product of transpositions.

**Note:**  $(x_1 x_2 x_3 \dots x_n)$

$$= (x_1 x_2) (x_2 x_3) (x_3 x_4) \dots (x_{n-1} x_n)$$



Definition: (sign on  $S_n$ , notation) If

$\sigma \in S_n$ , define the **sign**

of  $\sigma$ , denoted by  $\varepsilon(\sigma)$ ,

to be

$$\varepsilon(\sigma) = \begin{cases} 1, & \sigma \text{ can be expressed as a product} \\ & \text{of an **even** number of} \\ & \text{transpositions} \\ -1, & \sigma \text{ can be expressed as a} \\ & \text{product of an **odd** number} \\ & \text{of transpositions} \end{cases}$$

Observe that, under multiplication,

$\{1, -1\}$  is isomorphic to  $\mathbb{Z}_2$ .

If  $\varepsilon(\sigma) = 1$ , we say  $\sigma$  is

**even**. If  $\varepsilon(\sigma) = -1$ , we say  $\sigma$

is **odd**.

$\varepsilon$  is a homomorphism since  $\forall$

$\sigma, \tau \in S_n$ , if

1)  $\sigma$  and  $\tau$  are both even,

then  $\sigma\tau$  can be expressed as  
a product of an **even** number  
of transpositions, so

$$1 = \varepsilon(\sigma\tau) = \varepsilon(\sigma) \cdot \varepsilon(\tau) = 1 \cdot 1$$

2)  $\sigma$  and  $\tau$  are both odd,  
then  $\sigma\tau$  can be expressed  
as a product of an **even**  
number of transpositions,

so

$$1 = \varepsilon(\sigma\tau) = \varepsilon(\sigma) \varepsilon(\tau) = (-1) \cdot (-1)$$

3) one of  $\sigma$  or  $\tau$  is even  
and the other is odd, then  
 $\sigma\tau$  can be expressed as  
a product of an **odd**  
number of transpositions, so



$$-1 = \varepsilon(\sigma\tau) = \varepsilon(\sigma)\varepsilon(\tau) = 1 \cdot (-1)$$

Big Q: Is  $\varepsilon$  well-defined?