

2/4/11

Continuation of proof from last time...

Case 1:

$$(m_i)(m_i) = e$$

So we throw away the j and $j+1$ st
2-cycles. Reduce length of the product by 2.

Case 2:

$$(m_i)(x^j y^{j+1}) = (x^j y^{j+1})(m_i)$$

push (m_i) one unit to the right in
our product

Case 3:

$$(m_i)(m^{j+1}) = (y^j i m) = (y^j i)(i m) = (y^j i)(m^{j+1})$$

again we've pushed (m_i) one unit
to the right

Case 4:

$$(m_i)(i^{j+1} y) = (y m i) = (y^j m)(m^{j+1} i)$$

again we've pushed (m_i) one unit
to the right.

In cases 2-4 keep pushing (m_i) one unit
to the right

Eventually either have $(m_i)(m_i)$ [case 1]
so we're done, or push (m_i) all the way
to $(n_k n_{k+1})$ - contradiction since m can't
be in the last term.

conclusion: given $e = (n_1 n_2)(n_3 n_4) \dots (n_k n_{k+1})$
we may always reduce the numbers of 2-cycles by 2
Repeating the process we either get $e = (n_1 n_2)(n_3 n_4)$
or $e = (n_1 n_2)$. Hence, can only be written as even perm. ■

Thm: Any permutation $\sigma \in S_n$ is either even or odd but not both.

Pf: Take $\sigma \in S_n$, Suppose you can write $\sigma = (t_1 t_2)(t_3 t_4) \dots (t_k t_{k+1})$ with the number of 2-cycles even.

By contradiction, Suppose $\sigma = (s_1 s_2)(s_3 s_4) \dots (s_j s_{j+1})$ with the number of 2-cycles odd.

Then σ^{-1} , for example, may be written as, $\sigma^{-1} = (t_k t_{k+1})(t_{k-1} t_{k-2}) \dots (t_2 t_1)$ even.

Then $e = \sigma \sigma^{-1}$

$$= (s_1 s_2)(s_3 s_4) \dots (s_j s_{j+1}) \cdot (t_k t_{k+1})(t_{k-1} t_{k-2}) \dots (t_2 t_1)$$

$$= (\text{even number of cycles}) \cdot (\text{odd number of cycles})$$

$$= (\text{odd number of cycles})$$

Contradiction, hence σ must be odd or even but not both. ■

Def: Let $A_n \subseteq S_n$ consist of all even permutations.

example: S_4

Find all even permutations.

$$\{e, (12)(34), (13)(24), (14)(23), (123) = (12)(23), (134) = (13)(34), (234) = (23)(34), (124) = (12)(24), (132) = (13)(32), (143) = (14)(43), (243) = (24)(43), (142) = (14)(42)\} = A_4$$

This is the whole list because in S_4 , an even permutation can either be the identity or reduce to a product of two transpositions.

Thm: $A_n \leq S_n$ ($A_n =$ "alternating" group of permutations)

Pf: let $\sigma, \tau \in A_n$

Show 1) $\sigma^{-1} \in A_n$

2) $\sigma\tau \in A_n$

1) We showed in the proof that even/odd notation is well-defined that if

$$\sigma = (t_1 t_2)(t_3 t_4) \dots (t_k t_{k+1}) \text{ then}$$

$$\sigma^{-1} = (t_k t_{k+1})(t_{k-1} t_{k-2}) \dots (t_2 t_1)$$

So this implies that σ is even iff σ^{-1} is even.

$$2) \sigma = (t_1 t_2)(t_3 t_4) \dots (t_k t_{k+1})$$

$$\tau = (s_1 s_2)(s_3 s_4) \dots (s_j s_{j+1})$$

$$\sigma\tau = (t_1 t_2)(t_3 t_4) \dots (t_k t_{k+1}) \cdot (s_1 s_2)(s_3 s_4) \dots (s_j s_{j+1})$$

$$= (\text{even number}) + (\text{even number})$$

$$= (\text{even number of transpositions})$$

so $\sigma\tau \in A_n$ \blacksquare

There are precisely $\frac{n!}{2}$ elements in A_n .

Thm: (Cayley) Any group is isomorphic to a subgroup of some symmetric group. (the group of all bijections on a set w/function composition)

Remarks:

1) G any group, G may not be isomorphic to the full symmetric group

2) Thm is really only applied when G is finite

proof \rightarrow

Pf: Assume G is finite. Then G has n elements $\{g_1, g_2, \dots, g_n\}$. If $g \in G$, then

$$g \cdot g_k = g_j \quad \forall k, 1 \leq k \leq n \text{ and some } j, 1 \leq j \leq n$$

If $k_1 \neq k_2$ then if $g \cdot g_{k_1} = g \cdot g_{k_2}$,

multiply on the left by g^{-1} to get

$$g_{k_1} = g_{k_2}, \text{ contradiction.}$$

Show: If $g g_{k_1} = g_{j_1}$ and $g g_{k_2} = g_{j_2}$

then $j_1 = j_2$ iff $k_1 = k_2$.

permutation $j \mapsto k$.