

Last time:

3/21/11

$R, S$  are rings, we define the direct product  $R \times S$  to be the ring with operations  
 $(x_1, x_2 \in R, y_1, y_2 \in S)$   
 $(x_1, y_1) + (x_2, y_2) = (x_1 + x_2, y_1 + y_2)$   
 $(x_1, y_1) \cdot (x_2, y_2) = (x_1 x_2, y_1 y_2)$

$R \times \{0\}$  should be "the same" ring as  $R$ , and  $\{0_R\} \times S$  should be "the same" ring as  $S$ .  
What does same mean?

Def: Let  $R, S$  be rings.  $\varphi: R \rightarrow S$  is a ring homomorphism  
if  $\forall x, y \in R$ ,  $\varphi(x+y) = \varphi(x) + \varphi(y)$  and  
 $\varphi(x \cdot y) = \varphi(x) \cdot \varphi(y)$

Note:  $\varphi(0_R) = 0_S$  but not necessarily the case  
that if  $R$  is unital,  $\varphi(1_R)$  need be a  
unit for  $S$

Def:  $\varphi$  is an isomorphism if  $\varphi$  is a bijective  
ring homomorphism

Define for  $R$  and  $S$  rings,

$\varphi: R \rightarrow R \times S$  by  $\varphi(x) = (x, 0_S) \quad \forall x \in R$

Pf: let  $x, y \in R$ . check  $\varphi$  is a homomorphism:

$$\varphi(xy) = (xy, 0_S) = (x, 0_S)(y, 0_S) = \varphi(x)\varphi(y)$$

$$\varphi(x+y) = (x+y, 0_S) = (x, 0_S) + (y, 0_S) = \varphi(x) + \varphi(y)$$

$\varphi$  is a homomorphism, surjective onto its image

$$\varphi(R) = R \times \{0_S\}$$

Is  $\varphi$  injective? Suppose  $\varphi(x) = \varphi(y)$  then

$$(x, 0_S) = (y, 0_S) \text{ so, } (x-y, 0_S) = (0_S, 0_R)$$

$$\Rightarrow x-y = 0_R \text{ so, } x=y$$

$\varphi$  is an isomorphism from  $R$  onto  $R \times \{0_S\}$  Hence  $R$   
is isomorphic to a subring of  $R \times S$ .

Similarly,  $S$  is isomorphic to a subring of  $R \times S$  (namely,  $\{0_R\} \times S$ ) by defining  $\psi: S \rightarrow R \times S$ ,  $\psi(x) = (0_R, x) \quad \forall x \in S$

However,  $R \times \{0_S\}$  and  $\{0_R\} \times S$  have special properties, not common to ordinary subrings.

Take  $x \in R$ ,  $y \in S$ . Suppose  $z \in R$ .

$$\begin{aligned} (x, y) \cdot (z, 0_S) &= (xz, y \cdot 0_S) \\ &= (xz, 0_S) \text{ if } y \cdot 0_S = 0_S \quad \forall y \in S \\ &\in R \times \{0_S\} \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, if  $w \in S$ ,

$$(x, y) \cdot (0_R, w) = (0_R, y \cdot w) \in \{0_R\} \times S$$

again providing  $x \cdot 0_R = 0_R$

Prop: Let  $R$  be a ring,  $x, y \in R$ . Then

$$i) x \cdot (-y) = -xy$$

$$ii) x \cdot 0_R = 0_R = 0_R \cdot x$$

Pf:

$$\begin{aligned} iii) x \cdot x + x \cdot 0_R &= x \cdot (x + 0_R) \text{ (by distributivity)} \\ &= x \cdot x = x \cdot x + 0_R \end{aligned}$$

Subtracting  $x \cdot x$  from both sides we have  $x \cdot 0_R = 0_R$

$$\text{Similarly, } x \cdot x + 0_R \cdot x = (x + 0_R) \cdot x = x \cdot x = x \cdot x + 0_R$$

$$\text{So, } 0_R \cdot x = 0_R$$

i) Recall by unique element in  $R$  with  $xy + (-xy) = 0_R$

If we show  $xy + (x \cdot (-y)) = 0_R$  then by

uniqueness,  $-xy = x \cdot (-y)$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} xy + (x \cdot (-y)) &= x \cdot (y + -y) \text{ (distributive)} \\ &= x \cdot (0_R) = 0_R \text{ by ii)} \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{Hence, } xy + (x \cdot (-y)) = 0_R$$

$$\text{So, } x \cdot (-y) = -xy \quad \blacksquare$$

The proposition shows that  $\forall x \in R$ ,  $y \in S$ ,  $z \in R$ ,  
 $w \in S$ ,  $(x, y) \cdot (0_R, w) \in \{0_R\} \times S$  and  
 $(x, y)(z, 0_S) \in R \times \{0_S\}$ .

Similarly,  $(0_R, w) \cdot (x, y) \in \{0_R\} \times S$  and  
 $(z, 0_S)(x, y) \in R \times \{0_S\}$ .

Ex:  $R = M_2(\mathbb{R})$ ,  $S = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} : a \in \mathbb{R} \right\}$

$S$  is a subring of  $R$ , but that does not have the property that  $\forall x \in S$ ,  $T \in R$ ,  $x \cdot T \in S$ .

$$x = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad T = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$x \cdot T = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \notin S$$

Similarly, not true that  $\forall w \in R$ ,  $y \in S$ ,  $w \cdot y \in S$

 $y = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad w = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ 
 $w \cdot y = w \notin S$ 

Def.  $R$  is a ring,  $S \subseteq R$  a subring.  $S$  is called an ideal of  $R$  if  $\forall x \in R$ ,  $y \in S$ ,  $x \cdot y \in S$  and  $y \cdot x \in S$ .

Note: If only  $x \cdot y \in S$  for  $x \in R$ ,  $y \in S$  we say  $S$  is a left ideal.

If only  $y \cdot x \in S$  for  $x \in R$ ,  $y \in S$  we say  $S$  is a right ideal.

If the multiplication on  $R$  is commutative then:  
left ideals = right ideals = ideals

### Examples

- 1)  $R$  and  $\{0_R\}$  are always ideals of  $R$ .  
These could be the only ideals of  $R$   
(see HW on  $M_n(\mathbb{R})$ )



### Examples cont

2)  $R \times \{0_S\}$  and  $\{0_R\} \times S$  . are ideals of  $R \times S$ .

3)  $R = C_0(\mathbb{R})$ ,  $S = C_00(\mathbb{R})$

let  $f \in C_0(\mathbb{R})$ ,  $g \in C_00(\mathbb{R})$  then  $\exists$   
 $y \geq 0$  with  $g(x) = 0 \forall x$  with  $|x| > y$

For all such  $x$ ,  $(f \cdot g)(x) = f(x)g(x) = 0$

so,  $(f \cdot g)(x) = 0 \forall |x| > y$ , hence

$f \cdot g \in C_00(\mathbb{R})$  using  $g \cdot f = f \cdot g$ . we  
have that  $C_00(\mathbb{R})$  is an ideal in  $C_0(\mathbb{R})$ .