

4/4/11

Recall:

If a field, a field  $E$  is called an extension field of  $F$  if  $F$  is a subfield of  $E$ .

Example from last time...

$$F = \mathbb{Z}_3$$

$$E = \mathbb{Z}_3[i] = \{a+bi \mid a, b \in \mathbb{Z}_3\}$$

operations

$$(a+bi)(c+di) = (ac - bd) \bmod 3 + i(ad + bc) \bmod 3 \\ \in \mathbb{Z}_3[i]$$

$$(a+bi) + (c+di) = (a+c) \bmod 3 + i(b+d) \bmod 3$$

Check that every nonzero element is invertible:

$$x = a+bi, \text{ either } a \text{ or } b \text{ is nonzero}$$

$$x^{-1} = \frac{a-bi}{a^2+b^2} \cdot \frac{a+bi}{a+bi} = \frac{a^2-b^2}{a^2+b^2} + i \frac{-ab+ab}{a^2+b^2}$$

$$= \frac{a-bi}{a^2+b^2} = \frac{a}{a^2+b^2} + i \left( \frac{-b}{a^2+b^2} \right) \quad \text{reduce coefficients} \\ (a^2+b^2) \bmod 3$$

$$x^{-1} = \underbrace{(a(a^2+b^2)^{-1})}_{\bmod 3} + i \underbrace{((-b)(a^2+b^2)^{-1})}_{\bmod 3}$$

Why is  $x^{-1}$  nonzero or even well-defined?

If  $a^2+b^2 \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$ , we have the following:

1. If  $a=0$ , then since  $x \neq 0$ ,  $b \neq 0$ . Then

$$b=1 \text{ or } 2. -1^2 \equiv 1 \text{ and } 2^2 \equiv 4 \equiv 1 \pmod{3}, \\ \text{so } b^2 \neq 0$$

2. If  $a=1$ , then  $b$  could be  $0, 1$  or  $2$ .

$$b=0, a^2+b^2=1. b=1; a^2+b^2=1+1=2.$$

$$b=2, a^2+b^2=1+4=2 \pmod{3}$$

3. If  $a=2$ ,  $b$  could be  $0, 1$ , or  $2$

$$b=0, a^2+b^2=4 \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$$

$$b=1, a^2+b^2=4+1=5 \equiv 2 \pmod{3}$$

$$b=2, a^2+b^2=4+4=8 \equiv 2 \pmod{3}$$

Hence  $a^2+b^2 \neq 0 \wedge$  choices of  $a$  and  $b$  with at least one of  $a$  or  $b$  nonzero  $\rightarrow$

$\times$  cont': so  $x^{-1}$  is well-defined. Is it possible for  $x^{-1}$  to ever be zero if  $x \neq 0$ ?

If  $b=0$ , then  $x \neq 0 \Rightarrow a \neq 0$ , since  $a^2+b^2 \neq 0$  and  $\mathbb{Z}_3$  is a field,  
 $a \cdot (a^2+b^2)^{-1} \neq 0$

Similarly, if  $a=0$ ,  $b \cdot (a^2+b^2)^{-1} \neq 0$   
Hence every nonzero element of  $\mathbb{Z}_3[[x]]$  has a multiplicative inverse.

Another Example:

$$F = \mathbb{Q}$$

$$E = \mathbb{Q}[\sqrt{2}] = \{a+b\sqrt{2} : a, b \in \mathbb{Q}\}$$

Since  $\mathbb{Q}[\sqrt{2}] \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ , we know

$$(a+b\sqrt{2})(c+d\sqrt{2}) = (ac+2bd) + (ad+bc)\sqrt{2} \in \mathbb{Q}[\sqrt{2}]$$

and

$$(a+b\sqrt{2})+(c+d\sqrt{2}) = (a+c) + (b+d)\sqrt{2} \in \mathbb{Q}[\sqrt{2}]$$

$\forall a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{Q}$

Then  $\mathbb{Q}[\sqrt{2}]$  is a subring of  $\mathbb{R}$

Check that this is a field:

$$\begin{aligned}(a+b\sqrt{2})^{-1} &= \frac{1}{a+b\sqrt{2}} \cdot \frac{a-b\sqrt{2}}{a-b\sqrt{2}} = \frac{a-b\sqrt{2}}{a^2+2b^2} \\ &= \frac{a}{a^2+2b^2} + \left(\frac{-b}{a^2+2b^2}\right)\sqrt{2} \in \mathbb{Q}[\sqrt{2}]\end{aligned}$$

Note:  $a^2-2b^2=0 \Rightarrow \frac{a}{b} = \sqrt{2}$  where  
 $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$ , which is false since  $\sqrt{2}$  is irrational.

Def: The characteristic of a field  $F$  is the smallest natural number  $n$  such that  
 $n \cdot x = \underbrace{x+x+\cdots+x}_{n \text{ times}} = 0 \quad \forall x \in F$

If no such number exists, say  $F$  is characteristic 0.

Examples:

- 1)  $\mathbb{Q}$ ,  $\mathbb{R}$ ,  $\mathbb{C}$  are all characteristic 0
- 2)  $\mathbb{Z}_p$  is characteristic  $p$
- 3)  $\mathbb{Z}_3[i]$  is characteristic 3
- 4) Field with 4 elements is characteristic 2

Thm: If  $F$  is a field with nonzero characteristic  $n$ , then  $n$  is prime.

Pf: Suppose  $F$  is characteristic  $n$  and that  $k \leq n$ ,  $k \neq 1$ ,  $k$  divides  $n$  ( $k \in \mathbb{N}$ ). Take  $x \in F$ , suppose  $kx \neq 0$ , Then

$$nx = k \cdot mx = m(kx) = \underbrace{kx + kx + \dots + kx}_{m \text{ times}}$$

But  $nx = 0 \wedge x \in F$  since  $n$  is the characteristic of  $F$ . Hence

$$0 = \underbrace{kx + kx + \dots + kx}_{m \text{ times}}$$

since  $kx \neq 0$  and  $F$  is a field,  $kx$  has a multiplicative inverse  $(kx)^{-1}$ . Take

$$0 = \underbrace{kx + kx + \dots + kx}_{m \text{ times}} \text{ and multiply both sides by } (kx)^{-1}.$$

$$0 = (kx)^{-1} \underbrace{(kx + kx + \dots + kx)}_{m \text{ times}}$$

$$= (kx)^{-1}(kx) + \dots + (kx)^{-1}(kx)$$

$$= 1 + 1 + \dots + 1 = m \cdot 1$$

Then  $\forall y \in F$ ,  $my = (m \cdot 1)y = 0 \cdot y = 0$

This implies the characteristic of  $F$  is  $m$ . But  $n = mk$  and  $k > 1$ , so  $m < n$ , contradiction since  $n$  is the minimal number satisfying  $ny = 0 \forall y \in F$ . Then we must have  $n$  admits no proper nontrivial divisors, so  $n$  is prime ■

Corollary: let  $F$  be a field of characteristic  $p \neq 0$ .  
Then  $F$  has a subfield isomorphic (as a field) to  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ .

Pf: Consider  $\{n|_F : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  Then  
this set is the desired subfield.  
Isomorphism:

$$\phi_p : \mathbb{Z}_p \rightarrow F$$

$$\phi_p(1) = 1_F \quad \blacksquare$$

$$(\phi_p(n) = n|_F)$$

Prop: Any characteristic 0, field  $F$  has a subfield  
isomorphic to  $\mathbb{Q}$ .

Pf: consider  $\{(n|_F) \cdot (m|_F)^{-1} : n, m \in \mathbb{Z}, m \neq 0\}$

Define:  $\phi : \mathbb{Q} \rightarrow F$

$$\phi\left(\frac{n}{m}\right) = (n|_F)(m|_F)^{-1} \quad \blacksquare$$

NOTE the following field axiom:  
 $0 \neq 1$