

Theorem: (sign is well-defined) Let

$\sigma \in S_n$. Then σ is either even or odd, but not both.

Consequently, ϵ is a well-defined homomorphism.

Proof: (Miller) Suppose $\sigma \in S_n$ is

both even and odd, and let e

denote the identity of S_n .

Then σ^{-1} is both even and odd,

since we can write σ^{-1}

as the product of transpositions

in σ , only in reverse order:

$$\text{If } \sigma = (x_1 x_2)(x_3 x_4)$$

$$x_i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}, 1 \leq i \leq 4$$

$$\text{with } x_1 \neq x_2, x_3 \neq x_4,$$

then

$$\sigma^{-1} = (x_3 x_4)(x_1 x_2) \text{ since}$$

by uniqueness of inverse,

$$\sigma (x_3 x_4)(x_1 x_2)$$

$$= (x_1 x_2) \underbrace{(x_3 x_4)(x_3 x_4)}_e (x_1 x_2)$$

$$= (x_1 x_2)(x_1 x_2)$$

$$= e.$$

Therefore, $\sigma^{-1} = (\tau_3 \tau_4) (\tau_1 \tau_2)$

Now assume that if σ can be expressed as the product of k transpositions, then σ^{-1} can be expressed as the product of the same transpositions, written in reverse order. Let $\delta \in S_n$ and suppose δ is the product of $k+1$ transpositions:

$$\delta = (\tau_1 \tau_2) (\tau_3 \tau_4) \cdots (\tau_{2k-1} \tau_{2k}) (\tau_{2k+1} \tau_{2k+2})$$

with $\tau_{2i-1} \neq \tau_{2i} \quad \forall \quad 1 \leq i \leq k+1$.

Then

$$\sigma = (x_{2u+1} x_{2u+2}) (x_{2u-1} x_{2u}) \cdots (x_3 x_4) (x_1 x_2)$$

$$= (x_1 x_2) (x_3 x_4) \cdots (x_{2u-1} x_{2u}) (\cancel{x_{2u+1} x_{2u+2}})$$

$$= (\cancel{x_{2u+1} x_{2u+2}}) (x_{2u-1} x_{2u}) \cdots (x_3 x_4) (x_1 x_2)$$

$$= \left((x_1 x_2) (x_3 x_4) \cdots (x_{2u-1} x_{2u}) \right) (x_{2u-1} x_{2u}) \cdot \dots \cdot (x_3 x_4) (x_1 x_2)$$

$$\text{Let } \sigma = (x_1 x_2) (x_3 x_4) \cdots (x_{2u-1} x_{2u}).$$

Then by induction,

$$\sigma^{-1} = (x_{2u-1} x_{2u}) \cdots (x_3 x_4) (x_1 x_2),$$

So the above product is equal to e .

Therefore,

$$\sigma^{-1} = (x_{2n+2} x_{2n+1}) (x_{2n} x_{2n-1}) \dots (x_4 x_3) (x_2 x_1)$$

From this, we see that if σ is both even and odd, then we can write σ as

$$\sigma = (x_1 x_2) (x_3 x_4) \dots (x_{4k-1} x_{4k}) \text{ even}$$

$$\sigma = (y_1 y_2) (y_3 y_4) \dots (y_{4l-3} y_{4l-2}) \text{ odd}$$

for $k, l \in \mathbb{N}$ and transpositions

$$(x_{2i-1}, x_{2i})$$

$$1 \leq i \leq 2k$$

$$(y_{2j-1}, y_{2j})$$

$$1 \leq j \leq 2l-1$$

Then

$$e = \sigma \sigma^{-1}$$

$$= (x_1 x_2)(x_3 x_4) \cdots (x_{4n-1} x_{4n}) \text{ even}$$

$$\cdot (y_{4l-3} y_{4l-2}) \cdots (y_3 y_2)(y_1 y_2) \text{ odd}$$

$$= (x_1 x_2)(x_3 x_4) \cdots (x_{4n-1} x_{4n}) \text{ even}$$

$$(x_{4n-1} x_{4n}) \cdots (x_3 x_4)(x_1 x_2) \text{ even}$$

$\Rightarrow e$ is both even and odd.

(Conversely, if e is both even and odd,

then by multiplying any permutation σ

by e and using either the even or odd

representation, σ is both even and odd.

This says we can reduce to showing that the identity permutation cannot be odd, since we know

$$(12)(12) = e.$$

Idea: If e was odd, there will always be a "lonely" transposition, with an element in it not common to any other transposition in the decomposition of e . Then this element cannot be mapped back to itself, so the decomposition doesn't yield the identity!

Approach: Prove that any decomposition of e via transpositions can always be reduced by removing two transpositions.

To that end,

write

$$e = (\tau_1 \tau_2) (\tau_3 \tau_4) \dots (\tau_{2k-1} \tau_{2k})$$

with $k \geq 3$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and

$(\tau_{2i-1} \tau_{2i})$ is a

transposition $\forall i \geq 1$.

Choose $m \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$.

Let $(x_{2j-1} x_{2j})$ for $1 \leq j \leq k$

be the first transposition in which

m appears. Write

$$(x_{2j-1} x_{2j}) = (m a)$$

for some $a \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$, $a \neq m$.

Note $j = k$ is impossible, for then

$$e(a) = m \neq a, \text{ and so the}$$

decomposition cannot give the identity.

Cases: 1) $(x_{2j+1} x_{2j+2}) = (m a)$

then we cancel

$$(x_{2j-1} x_{2j})(x_{2j+1} x_{2j+2})$$

$$= (m a) (m a)$$

$$= e$$

and we have reduced the number of transpositions by 2, as desired.

2) $(x_{2j+1} x_{2j+2}) = (b c)$

with $b \neq a, m \neq c$.

Then $(x_{2j+1} x_{2j+2})$ and $(x_{2j-1} x_{2j})$ are disjoint.

So the transpositions commute,
and we can write

$$\begin{aligned} & (\tau_{2j-1} \tau_{2j}) (\tau_{2j+1} \tau_{2j+2}) \\ &= (\tau_{2j+1} \tau_{2j+2}) (\tau_{2j-1} \tau_{2j}) \end{aligned}$$

In this way, we move the
first transposition containing
 m one spot to the right.

3) Without loss of generality,

$$\tau_{2j+1} = m, \quad \tau_{2j+2} = c \neq a$$

Then

$$(x_{2j-1}, x_{2j}) (x_{2j+1}, x_{2j+2})$$

$$= (m a) (m c)$$

$$= (c a m)$$

$$= (c a) (a m)$$

$$= (c a) (m a)$$

We have again pushed

$(m a)$ one spot to the

right - **Note:** $c \neq m$

Since $(m c)$ is a

transposition.

4) Without loss of generality,

$$x_{2j+1} = a, \quad x_{2j+2} = c \neq m$$

Then

$$(x_{2j-1} \ x_{2j}) (x_{2j+1} \ x_{2j+2})$$

$$= (m \ a) (a \ c)$$

$$= (m \ a \ c)$$

$$= (a \ c \ m)$$

$$= (a \ c) (c \ m)$$

and we have again pushed the first transposition one spot to the right.

This covers all cases

In cases 2) - 4), we move the first transposition containing m one spot to the right. Either we encounter $(m a)$ one spot to the right, and then we are in case 1), or we do not. In the latter case, we can push to $(x_{2k-1} x_{2k}) = (m c)$ for some $c \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$, $c \neq m$ as the first transposition containing m , so the decomposition cannot yield the identity.

Therefore, we are always in case 1):
every transposition can be paired,
and therefore, e cannot be odd.



Notation: (A_n) $A_n \leq S_n,$

$$A_n = \ker(\epsilon)$$

$A_n =$ all even permutations
in S_n .

$A_5 =$ smallest-order simple
nonabelian group.