

Convergence of Fourier series in the mean of L_2 and L_1

Gram-Schmidt orthonormalization: Suppose $\{\psi_k : k \in \mathbf{Z}_+\}$ is linearly independent. $\varphi_1 := \psi_1 / \|\psi_1\|_2$, $\varphi_2 := \psi_2 - \langle \psi_2, \varphi_1 \rangle \varphi_1$, normalize φ_2 , $\varphi_3 := \psi_3 - \langle \psi_3, \varphi_2 \rangle \varphi_2 - \langle \psi_3, \varphi_1 \rangle \varphi_1$, normalize φ_3 , etc. Then $\{\varphi_k : k \in \mathbf{Z}_+\}$ is orthonormal.

This shows that starting with a Riesz basis we can produce an orthonormal one.

From now on $\{\varphi_k : k \in \mathbf{Z}_+\}$ will be considered orthonormal.

Approximation: $\varepsilon := \left\| u - \sum_{k=1}^n c_k \varphi_k \right\|_2$ is minimized by $c_k = \hat{u}_k := \langle u, \varphi_k \rangle$. Proof: $\langle \varepsilon, \varepsilon \rangle = \|u\|_2^2 - \sum_{k=1}^n |\hat{u}_k|^2 + \sum_{k=1}^n |\hat{u}_k - c_k|^2$.

Bessel's inequality: $\left\| u - \sum_{k=1}^n \hat{u}_k \varphi_k \right\|_2^2 = \|u\|_2^2 - \sum_{k=1}^n |\hat{u}_k|^2$, so $\sum_{k=1}^n |\hat{u}_k|^2 \leq \|u\|_2^2$.

Convergence: $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \hat{u}_k \varphi_k \rightarrow u$ in the mean.

Proof: $\left\| \sum_{k=m}^n c_k \varphi_k \right\|_2^2 = \sum_{k=m}^n |c_k|^2$, so by Bessel's inequality and the Riesz-Fischer theorem the series converges in the mean.

Let v denote the limit. Then $\langle v, \varphi_k \rangle = \langle u, \varphi_k \rangle$, so $u - v \perp$ to all φ_k .

Parseval's formula: $\langle \hat{u}, \hat{v} \rangle = \langle u, v \rangle$. The Fourier transform is a linear isometry.

Proof: As $n \rightarrow \infty$, Bessel's inequality becomes an equality, i.e. $\|\hat{u}\|_2 = \|u\|_2$. Now use the polarization formula.

Hausdorff-Young inequality: $\|\hat{u}\|_q \leq \|u\|_p$ for $1 \leq p \leq 2$.

Fourier basis: $\{\exp(ik\theta) : k \in \mathbf{Z}\}$ is an orthonormal Riesz basis for $L_2(\mathbf{T})$. Proof: exercise.

Fourier transform: $\chi := 1_{\mathbf{T}}$, $\varphi_k := \chi^k(\exp(i\theta)) = \exp(ik\theta)$

* $\mathcal{F} : L_2(\mathbf{T}) \rightarrow \ell_2$, $\mathcal{F}u := \hat{u}$, where $\hat{u}_k := \langle u, \varphi_k \rangle = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} u(\theta) \exp(-ik\theta) d\theta$. Inversion: $u(\theta) = \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} \hat{u}_k \exp(ik\theta)$

* $\mathcal{F} : L_2(\mathbf{R}) \rightarrow L_2(\mathbf{R})$, $\mathcal{F}u := \hat{u}$, where $\hat{u}(\omega) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} u(x) \exp(-i\omega x) dx$. Inversion: $u(x) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \hat{u}(\omega) \exp(i\omega x) dx$

Properties of the Fourier transform on $L_1(\mathbf{T})$: (since $|\exp(ik\theta)| = 1$, Fourier transform makes sense on $L_1(\mathbf{T})$)

- * \mathcal{F} is linear.
- * $\widehat{\widehat{u}}(k) = \widehat{u}(-k)$
- * Shift: $u_{\tau}(\theta) := u(\theta - \tau)$. $\widehat{u_{\tau}}(k) = \hat{u}(k) \exp(-ik\tau)$.
- * Convolution: $u * v := \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} u(\theta - \tau) v(\tau) d\tau$. $\widehat{u * v} = \widehat{u} \widehat{v}$.
- * $\varphi_k * u = \hat{u}_k \varphi_k$

Linear functionals: A linear functional is a linear map on a functional space to \mathbf{C} .

A linear functional A is called bounded (continuous) if $\exists M$ with $|Au| \leq M|u|$.

Representable functionals: given $v \in L_2$ the map $L_2 \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$ defined by $u \mapsto \langle u, v \rangle$ is a bounded linear functional.

Riesz representation theorem: ³ Every bounded linear functional on L_2 is representable (uniquely).

The linear functional $u \mapsto u(0)$ is not representable. It is called the Dirac δ -functional and denoted by δ .

By abuse of notation it is sometimes called a function and some write $\int u(x)\delta(x) dx = u(0)$. Fact: $\delta * u = u$.

Summability kernels: A summability kernel on $L_1(\mathbf{T})$ is a uniformly bounded sequence of $K_n \in L_1$ with integrals 1 such that $K_n \rightarrow \delta$ (the Dirac comb), i.e. $\langle \varphi, K_n \rangle \rightarrow \varphi(0)$. In this case $K_n * u \rightarrow u$.

Fejér kernel and Cesaro sums: $K_n := \sum_{k=-n}^n \left[1 - \frac{|k|}{n+1} \right] \exp(ik\theta) = \frac{1}{n+1} \left[\frac{\sin(\frac{n+1}{2}\theta)}{\sin(\frac{1}{2}\theta)} \right]^2$

Proof: $\sin^2\left(\frac{1}{2}\theta\right) = \frac{1}{2}(1 - \cos\theta) = -\frac{1}{4}\exp(-i\theta) + \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{4}\exp(i\theta)$. Now multiply.

$\sigma_n := K_n * u = \frac{1}{n+1} \sum_{k=0}^n s_k$, where $s_k := \sum_{m=-k}^k \hat{u}_j \exp(im\theta)$ are the partial Fourier sums.

Cesaro sums converge to u in L_1 norm, so trigonometric polynomials are dense in $L_1(\mathbf{T})$. Also $\hat{u} = 0 \Rightarrow u = 0$.

References:

- F. Riesz, B. Sz.-Nagy, *Functional Analysis*, Frederick Ungar, 1955 (Dover, 1990)
- Y. Katznelson, *An Introduction to Harmonic Analysis*, Wiley, 1968 (Dover, 1976)

¹ For the continuous Fourier transform this is known as Plancherel's theorem

² Convolution is associative, commutative, and distributes over +.

³ due to Fréchet and Riesz (1907)