

Invertibility

(Section 2.4)

An $n \times n$ matrix A is said to be invertible if there is an $n \times n$ matrix B such that

$$A \cdot B = B \cdot A = I_n$$

We will call an $n \times n$ matrix a Square matrix.

Q: Why is this only for square matrices?

Suppose A is $m \times n$. Then

$$\underbrace{A \cdot B}_{m \times n \quad n \times m} = \overline{I}_n.$$

But if $m \neq n$,

$$\underbrace{B \cdot A}_{n \times m \quad m \times n} = I_m$$

So $B \cdot A \neq A \cdot B$.

Bigger problem: if $m > n$, then

B will multiply nonzero vectors and give the zero vector. Similarly, if $n > m$, the same phenomenon happens with A .

Since for any vector \vec{v} in \mathbb{R}^n ,

$I_n \cdot \vec{v} = \vec{v}$, this tells

you that A can **never**

satisfy $A \cdot B = I_m$ and

$$B \cdot A = I_n.$$

further question: Is every nonzero $n \times 1$ matrix invertible?

Example 1 : Let $A = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} = e_{1,2}$.

Is A invertible?

Solution: Suppose $B = \begin{bmatrix} c & d \\ e & f \end{bmatrix}$ and

$$A \cdot B = B \cdot A = I_2.$$

$$\underline{A \cdot B = I_2}$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} c & d \\ e & f \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

~~~~~

$$\begin{bmatrix} e & f \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

2 1

Since  $0 \neq 1$ ,  $\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$  is

not invertible!

So not even every nonzero  $n \times n$  matrix is invertible. We'd like to be able to determine when an  $n \times n$  matrix is invertible or not. For now, we are stuck with actually trying to exhibit an inverse.

## Row Reduction and Inverses

Suppose  $A$  is an  $n \times n$  invertible matrix:

Write  $A^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} \vec{v}_1 & \vec{v}_2 & \cdots & \vec{v}_n \end{bmatrix}$ ,

where each  $\vec{v}_i$  is an  $n \times 1$  column vector,  $1 \leq i \leq n$ .

Then since  $A \cdot A^{-1} = I_n$

$$A \cdot \vec{v}_1 = 1^{\text{st}} \text{ column of } I_n = \vec{e}_1$$

$$A \cdot \vec{v}_2 = 2^{\text{nd}} \text{ column of } I_n = \vec{e}_2$$

$$\vdots \quad \vdots \quad \vdots$$

$$A \cdot \vec{v}_n = n^{\text{th}} \text{ column of } I_n = \vec{e}_n$$

Writing as augmented matrices,

$$\begin{bmatrix} A & ; \vec{e}_1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} A & ; \vec{e}_2 \end{bmatrix}$$

⋮

$$\begin{bmatrix} A & ; \vec{e}_n \end{bmatrix}$$

Row reduce!

Since the coefficient matrix is always  $A$ , we always do the same row reduction. So we can write all these augmented matrices simultaneously as

$$\left[ A : \vec{e}_1 \vec{e}_2 \cdots \vec{e}_n \right]$$

$$= \left[ A : I_n \right]$$

and row reduce this! If you get a solution,  $A$  is invertible, and the row-reduction will yield

$$\left[ \begin{array}{c|c} I_n & ; A^{-1} \end{array} \right] .$$

If  $A$  is not invertible, no  
solution exists.

Example 2: Find the inverse of

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -3 & 2 \\ 2 & 6 & 8 \\ 4 & 1 & 5 \end{bmatrix}, \text{ if}$$

it exists.

Solution: Start with a giant augmented matrix

$$\left[ A ; I_3 \right]$$

$$= \left[ \begin{array}{ccc|ccc} 1 & -3 & 2 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 2 & 6 & 8 & ; & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 4 & 1 & 5 & ; & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{array} \right]$$

Row reduce!

From Wolfram Alpha:

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & -\frac{1}{4} & -\frac{17}{88} & \frac{9}{22} \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & -\frac{1}{4} & \frac{3}{88} & \frac{1}{22} \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & \frac{1}{4} & \frac{13}{88} & -\frac{3}{22} \end{bmatrix}$$

$A^{-1}$

$A$  is invertible, with

$$A^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} -\frac{1}{4} & -\frac{17}{88} & \frac{9}{22} \\ -\frac{1}{4} & \frac{3}{88} & \frac{1}{22} \\ \frac{1}{4} & \frac{13}{88} & -\frac{3}{22} \end{bmatrix}$$

## Wolfram Alpha

Just type in the matrix,  
it will tell you whether  
the matrix is invertible or not,  
and find the inverse (if it can).

# Invertibility and Systems of Linear Equations

Remember that, given a system of linear equations, we turned the system into a matrix-vector equation

$$A \tilde{v} = \tilde{b}$$

where  $A$  is the coefficient matrix,  
 $\tilde{v}$  is the column vector of variables,  
and  $\tilde{b}$  is the column vector of solutions.

We solved the system by row-reducing  
the augmented matrix

$$\left[ \begin{array}{c|c} A & \vec{b} \end{array} \right].$$

But if  $A$  is invertible, there is  
another way to solve : multiply  
both sides of  $A\vec{v} = \vec{b}$  by  
 $\tilde{A}^{-1}$  on the left.

$$\tilde{A}^{-1} \cdot (A\vec{v}) = \tilde{A}^{-1} \cdot \vec{b}$$

$$(\tilde{A}^{-1} \cdot A) \cdot \vec{v} = \tilde{A}^{-1} \cdot \vec{b}$$

$$I_n \cdot \vec{v} = \tilde{A}^{-1} \cdot \vec{b}$$

Then  $\vec{v} = \vec{A}^{-1} \cdot \vec{b}$ , and  
you can read off the solutions  
to the original linear system of  
equations using the components  
of  $\vec{A}^{-1} \cdot \vec{b}$ .

Example 3: Find the interpolating cubic through the points  $(1, -2)$ ,  $(2, 4)$ ,  $(-1, 6)$ , and  $(-3, 19)$ .

**Solution:** System of linear equations first.

Our interpolating cubic looks like

$$ax^3 + bx^2 + cx + d = y.$$

Plug in the points

$$(1, -2): \quad a + b + c + d = -2$$

$$(2, 4): \quad 8a + 4b + 2c + d = 4$$

$$(-1, 6): \quad -a + b - c + d = 6$$

$$(-3, 19): \quad -27a + 9b - 3c + d = 19$$

$$a+b+c+d = -2$$

$$8a+4b+2c+d = 4$$

$$-a+b-c+d = 6$$

$$-27a+9b-3c+d = 19$$

Write as  $A\vec{v} = \vec{b}$  where

$$\vec{v} = \begin{bmatrix} d \\ c \\ b \\ a \end{bmatrix}, \quad \vec{b} = \begin{bmatrix} -2 \\ 4 \\ 6 \\ 19 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 2 & 4 & 8 \\ 1 & -1 & 1 & -1 \\ 1 & -3 & 9 & -27 \end{bmatrix}$$

Q: Is A invertible?

Wolfram Alpha says "yes", so

$$\vec{v} = A^{-1} \cdot \vec{b}$$

$$= \frac{1}{24} \begin{bmatrix} -6 \\ -109 \\ 54 \\ 13 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} d \\ c \\ b \\ a \end{bmatrix}$$

The interpolating polynomial is

$$y = \frac{13}{24}x^3 + \frac{54}{24}x^2 - \frac{109}{24}x - \frac{6}{24}$$

Checked!

## Proofs by Contradiction

Prove a mathematical statement by assuming the **negation** of what you're trying to prove. Reason from this assumption to something you know to be false; this is called a **contradiction**. You then know that, since the assumption yields false information, your original conclusion must be true.

## Example 4: (uniqueness of inverse)

Show that inverses, if they exist, are unique.

**Solution:** Let  $A, B, C$  be  $n \times n$  matrices with

$A$  is invertible,  
with two  
inverses

$$A \cdot B = B \cdot A = I_n$$

$$A \cdot C = C \cdot A = I_n$$

Suppose that  $B \neq C$ , i.e., we assume that inverses are not unique.

Then

$$B = B \cdot I_n = B \cdot (A \cdot C)$$

we assumed  $A \cdot C = I_n$

$$= (B \cdot A) \cdot C$$

associativity of matrix multiplication

$$= (I_n) \cdot C$$

we assumed  $B \cdot A = I_n$

$$= C$$

This contradicts our assumption that  $B \neq C$ .

So the assumption that  $B \neq C$  must be

in error, which implies that it is

false. If it is false, its negation

must be true, and so inverses are

unique (if they exist).

## Properties of Invertible Matrices

i) Products: Suppose  $A, B$  are  $n \times n$  invertible matrices. Then  $A \cdot B$  is also invertible

proof: (direct) I claim that the inverse of  $A \cdot B$  is  $B^{-1} \cdot A^{-1}$

check:  $(A \cdot B) \cdot (B^{-1} \cdot A^{-1})$

$$= A \cdot (B \cdot B^{-1}) \cdot A^{-1} \quad \text{associativity}$$
$$= A \cdot (I_n) \cdot A^{-1} \quad B \text{ invertible}$$
$$= (A \cdot I_n) \cdot A^{-1} \quad \text{associativity}$$
$$= A \cdot A^{-1} = I_n \quad A \text{ invertible}$$

This shows  $AB \cdot (B^{-1} \cdot A^{-1}) = I_n$ . A similar calculation shows  $(B^{-1} \cdot A^{-1}) \cdot (A \cdot B) = I_n$ , so  $B^{-1} \cdot A^{-1}$  is the inverse of  $A \cdot B$ . This is usually expressed as

$$(A \cdot B)^{-1} = B^{-1} \cdot A^{-1}$$

## 2) Transposes

What is the transpose?

Given an  $m \times n$  matrix  $A$ , the transpose of  $A$ , denoted by  $A^t$ , is the  $n \times m$  matrix obtained by interchanging the rows of  $A$  with its columns.

Example 4:

Let  $A = \begin{bmatrix} -3 & 1 & 5 & 2 \\ 8 & 11 & 6 & 31 \end{bmatrix}$

Find  $A^t$ .

Solution:

$$A^t = \begin{bmatrix} -3 & 8 \\ 1 & 11 \\ 5 & 6 \\ 2 & 31 \end{bmatrix}$$

1<sup>st</sup> row of      2<sup>nd</sup> row of  
A becomes      A becomes  
(1<sup>st</sup> column of      2<sup>nd</sup> column of  
 $A^t$ )       $A^t$

An important property of transposes  
is that if  $A$  is an  $m \times n$  matrix  
and  $B$  is an  $n \times k$  matrix,

$$(A \cdot B)^t = B^t \cdot A^t$$

Using this fact, let's show: if  $A$  is

an  $n \times n$  invertible matrix, then

the inverse of  $A^t$  is the transpose  
of  $A^{-1}$ .

Check:

$$\begin{aligned} A^t \cdot (A^{-1})^t &= (A^{-1} \cdot A)^t \\ &= (I_n)^t \quad A \text{ invertible} \\ &= I_n \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we can show

$$(A^{-1})^t \cdot A^t = I_n$$

This gets us that the inverse of  
At is the transpose of  $A^{-1}$ .

This is usually expressed as

$$(A^t)^{-1} = (A^{-1})^t$$

These facts are yours to use for the  
rest of the class whenever necessary.

**Q:** Is there a way to check whether  
a matrix is invertible?

**A:** Yes! Determinants.